Clinical data is fundamental to clinicians’ medical education and clinical practice, and should be the starting point for evidence-based improvements in how patients are treated. However, communicating clinical data alone isn’t enough to change the behavior of health-care professionals (HCPs) because facts and figures may be easily retained by computers, but not by people.

If we are to have any success in bridging this gap between clinical data and clinical practice, we have to do more than just exchange information. Effective communication strategies should not only understand the challenges and the impact on the audience, but the solutions provided should also appeal to both hearts and minds or, to put it another way, to our behavior.

Behavioral science demonstrates that provoking an emotional response will have a more persuasive effect than communicating logic and data alone; and being able to encapsulate an emotional narrative within a medical communications program will help to accelerate behavioral change in clinicians that will ultimately benefit patients.

"Communicating clinical data alone isn’t enough to change the behavior of HCPs because facts and figures may be easily retained by computers, but not by people."

Heart versus head: Do you understand how HCPs think?

Having an understanding of how people think, and the underlying emotions that influence their behaviors, provides invaluable insights that can help shape our communication initiatives. Daniel Kahneman, in his book “Thinking, Fast & Slow”, sees human thinking in two forms; System 1 (intuitive/emotional) and System 2 (reflective/logical).

According to Kahneman’s description:

“System 1 operates automatically and quickly, with little or no effort and no sense of voluntary control.” For example, when you’re driving or walking to a friend’s house, you’re on autopilot and don’t consciously think about which route to take. This previously learned behavior is not something that demands strategic thinking.

“System 2 allocates attention to the effortful mental activities that demand it, including complex computations.” For example, this may include remembering an event that occurred a few weeks ago, working out a math problem, or checking whether an argument is logically valid.

Decisions are largely made using autopilot (System 1), which helps us act quickly and efficiently…but this is prone to predictable errors. So, although System 2 sometimes over-rides judgements made by System 1, Kahneman explains that System 2 can be “lazy”, allowing System 1 to lead us to irrational conclusions.
Captivate your audience with an engaging story that they will remember and act on

So, how can we engage System 1 thinking when communicating scientific data? One approach is through storytelling, which can have a lasting impact, but how?

Stories help us relate, they allow us to empathize, and enable our brains to process information in a form that is more digestible and memorable. Stories also provide the connections between simple facts. Research shows that when data are embedded in a story, it is more effectively emotionalized and metabolized by the listener – and it becomes more actionable.

A good story helps engage interest on an emotional level (via System 1) and subsequently encourages reflective thinking (via System 2), providing the key stimulus for behavior change.

For some people, crafting a story around the data may seem like an unnecessary, time-consuming effort. They may feel that the facts should be sufficient to stand on their own as long as they’re reported in a clear manner, believing that this will influence the right decisions and drive their audience to act. Unfortunately, this point of view is based on the flawed assumption that clinical decisions are based solely on logic and reason – System 2 thinking!

If the emotional aspects of System 1 thinking can be harnessed as part of a medical education campaign, this will likely enhance the impact and effectiveness by deepening the audience’s understanding and engagement with the educational messages. This emotional connection is more likely to lead to positive action.

"Stories provide the connections between simple facts."

What does a good story look like?

If you had to distil a good story down into its key components, there would be a captivating conflict and a satisfying resolution. Any good story builds up a conflict that is, in the end, resolved to a greater or lesser extent. The higher the stakes of the conflict, the more interesting the story and more satisfying the resolution.
But where do these elements of a story fit within the processes of scientific research and medical education? We can draw parallels with well-known stories, even those from comic books, where there is a notable conflict/antagonist (terror wrought by our villain the Joker) and a resolution/protagonist (our hero Batman saves the day). In a scientific communication, the aim is to set the scene by explaining the conflict (clinical issue or unmet need) in such a compelling way that the reader wants to know the outcome. This could be achieved by focusing on what is at stake for the patient (perhaps feelings of frustration or desperation due to their disease). Structuring the story to build upon the facts using appropriate language to add tension and bring in emotion will grab the reader’s attention. The plot unfolds, with a description of the methods employed to tackle the conflict and the results generated. Ultimately, the story concludes with the resolution; how the results meet the previously stated need and what this actually means for the patient (perhaps feelings of liberation and renewed hope for the future).

Determining how to communicate clinical data in peer-reviewed publications requires skillful judgement that strikes the right rational and emotional balance. Finding the right story in the data will require a “narrative process”, but the story can only ever be as good as the data on which it is based. For example, effectively communicating the burden of disease and the real physical and emotional impact this has for the patient is vital for the emotional impact. However, to balance this important message the factual, quality-of-life data is a critical component of the story, particularly in today’s metric-centric world.

As authors, we are responsible for translating the insights we have on our data into a meaningful and clear story that resonates with the audience, making it relevant and actionable. If we do not engage the reader, our messages will never be heard, let alone believed, and we will fail to change behavior.

"The story can only ever be as good as the data on which it is based."
This is not “The End”

Storytelling has been shown to be a powerful approach for sharing data and ideas in a way that is memorable, persuasive, and engaging. This is particularly important in a world where the volume of information is ever increasing, the needs of patients are increasingly complex, and health-care systems have undergone seismic shifts. The need for more effective communications that cut through the data to connect with HCPs on an emotional level has never been greater!
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